Biowaiver monographs for immediate release solid oral dosage forms: Cimetidine
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Abstract

Literature data relevant to the decision to allow a waiver of in vivo bioequivalence (BE) testing for the approval of immediate release (IR) solid oral dosage forms containing cimetidine are reviewed. According to the current Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS), cimetidine would be assigned to Class III. Cimetidine’s therapeutic use and therapeutic index, its pharmacokinetic properties, data related to the possibility of excipient interactions, and reported BE/bioavailability (BA) problems were also taken into consideration. On the basis of the overall evidence, a biowaiver can be recommended for cimetidine IR products, provided that the test product contains only those excipients reported in this paper in their usual amounts, and that the test and the comparator drug products both are rapidly dissolving as per BCS. © 2006 Wiley-Liss, Inc. and the American Pharmacists Association J Pharm Sci 95:974-984, 2006

Introduction

A monograph is presented on cimetidine with respect to the possibility of waiving in vivo bioequivalence (BE) testing for the approval of new and/or reformulated immediate release (IR) solid oral dosage forms. The purpose and scope of this series of monographs were discussed previously.[1] Briefly, the aim of these monographs is to evaluate all pertinent data available from literature sources, for Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) listed on the WHO List of Essential Medicines[2] and/or in common use, with a view to assess whether a biowaiver is appropriate or not, and if appropriate, under which restrictions. In this risk assessment, risk is defined as both the likelihood of an incorrect application of the biowaiver and the consequences of such an incorrect biowaiver decision in terms
of public health and patient's risk. Monographs have previously been published for verapamil, propranolol, atenolol, chloroquine, ranitidine hydrochloride, ibuprofen, acetaminophen, and amitryptiline.[1],[3-7] Although in April 2003, cimetidine was replaced by ranitidine on the WHO List of Essential Medicines, its continued widespread use justifies the inclusion of cimetidine in this series.

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS

Name
INN name: Cimetidine, Chemical name: N-cyano-N-methyl-N-[2[[5-methyl-1H-imidazol-4-yl]methyl]thio]ethyl] guanidine. Its structure is shown in Figure 1.

![Structure of cimetidine](image)

Figure 1. Structure of cimetidine.

Therapeutic Indication
Cimetidine is one of several histamine H2-receptor antagonists widely used in conditions where inhibition of gastric acid secretion may be beneficial, such as duodenal and gastric ulcers.[8-11] It reduces pepsin output and competitively inhibits the action of histamine at the histamine H2-receptors of the parietal cells.[9-11]

Therapeutic Index and Toxicity
In general use, the total daily dose by any route of administration should not exceed 2.4 g, whereas the standard dosage is 800 mg.[9] However, no clinically significant adverse drug reactions were found in a study evaluating the toxicity of a cimetidine overdosage of 5.2-20 g in patients with normal kidney function, including one patient who received cimetidine 12 g/day for 5 days.[8],[9] Adverse drug reactions to cimetidine are infrequent, occurring with an incidence of about 5%.[11] These effects are usually reversible following a reduction of dosage or withdrawal of therapy.[8],[9],[11] Accordingly, it can be concluded that cimetidine has a wide therapeutic index.

CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Salt, Esters, Polymorphs
A hydrochloride salt of cimetidine exists,[9],[12],[13] but is used only in liquids and injections. This monograph pertains to the free base only.

Four polymorphs of cimetidine, forms A, B, C (anhydrous), and D (monohydrate) have been reported.[14-17] The polymorphic form was shown to affect the physicochemical properties, the BA, as well as the clinical efficacy of cimetidine.[14],[15] The dissolution rate constant in water for form C was found to be 1.29, 1.70, and 1.90 times greater than those measured for forms A, D, and B, respectively.[14] However, polymorphic form A was found to be the easiest to tablet as well as physically stable and it is therefore used in all commercially available cimetidine drug products.[14],[17]

Solubility
Cimetidine is slightly soluble in water.[9] Its aqueous solubility is 11.4 mg/mL at 37°C at a final pH of 9.3.[8],[10] The minimum solubility determined in the pH range 1-8 at 37°C is 6 mg/mL.[18]
Partition Coefficient
The n-octanol/water partition coefficient (log P) of cimetidine was reported as 2.5 at pH 9.2.[8] Calculations using fragmentation methods based on atomic contributions to lipophilicity and by using the C log P program (version 3.0, Biabyte Corp, Claremont, CA, http://www.biabyte.com) gave values of 0.35 (C log P) and 0.79 (log P).[19] Other workers reported a log P value of 0.48,[20] being most probably log D values obtained at lower pH values.

pKa
Cimetidine is weakly basic with the pKa values reported as 6.80[8] and 6.93.[20] It is thus present, at least partly, in the ionized form in the upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract.

Dosage form Strengths
Strengths with a Marketing Authorization (MA) in Germany (DE) and the Netherlands (NL) contain 200, 400, and 800 mg. In Finland (FI), only the 400 mg strength has a MA.

PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES

Absorption and Bioavailability
Cimetidine is rapidly, yet incompletely absorbed after oral administration. Its BA is between 56%-68% in healthy subjects and about 70% in patients with peptic ulcer disease, in whom a much greater variation in absorption was observed.[8],[11],[21] In the fed state, the absorption of cimetidine is slightly delayed but the extent absorbed is not significantly different to that in the fasted state.[22] A BA study in a patient with a massive bowel restriction demonstrated reduced absorption of cimetidine, which was attributed to rapid transit of the drug through the GI tract.[23] Both the absorption and clearance of cimetidine are linear in the therapeutic dosing range.[8],[21],[22],[24] Using the everted ring technique, the uptake of cimetidine from the rat jejunum and colon was shown to be linear in the range of 0.0005-40 mM.[25] After oral administration in the fasted state, cimetidine usually shows erratic double peak or multiple peak phenomenon in plasma drug concentration-time profile.[8],[21],[22],[26] The phenomenon has been described extensively and still under discussion.[27-33] However, secondary peaks occur independent of the formulation.[26] As such, the double peak phenomenon is not relevant for the consideration of a biowaiver.

Permeability
The permeability values of cimetidine obtained from various studies are shown in Table 1. Based on a single-pass intestinal perfusion study of cimetidine across jejunal epithelia and intestinal uptake in rats, it was suggested that paracellular pathway plays an important role to cimetidine transport.[25],[34] The mechanisms of cimetidine permeability leading to low absorption have been reported.[34-37] Intracellular cimetidine and its major metabolite, the S-oxide, were shown to be regulators of absorption of cimetidine via the paracellular route.[34],[37] In addition, using Caco-2 monolayers as an in vitro model for studying transport of cimetidine and the other H2-antagonists, it was found that this group of APIs have the potential to reduce their own epithelial permeability via tight junction modulation.[35] Further, they are substrates for intestinal P-glycoprotein in efflux mechanism.[36]
Table 1. Permeability of Cimetidine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$P_{app}/P_{eff} \ (\pm 10^{-6}) \ (\text{cm/s})$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human jejunal perfusion*</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human jejunal perfusion</td>
<td>29.6 ± 13.9</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human jejunal perfusion</td>
<td>30.4 ± 19.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2*</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT29-18-C1*</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human jejunum</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human ileum</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2*</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2*</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.007 (AP → BL) b</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.12 (BL → AP) b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.09 (pH 7.2)</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.02 (pH 5.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2</td>
<td>0.65 (AP → BL) b</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2</td>
<td>2.18 (BL → AP) b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.34</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLC-PK1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caco-2</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.02</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT29-MTX*</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat in situ single-pass intestinal perfusion*</td>
<td>7.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat jejunum pH 5.5</td>
<td>14.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat jejunum pH 7.5</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat ileum pH 6.5</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat ileum pH 7.5</td>
<td>12.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The data are shown as mean ± SD.
b The authors calculated in vivo Papp based on the data from Reference.[62]
c Transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) = 300  · cm2.
d TEER = 100  · cm2.
e The integrity of the monolayers was assessed by determining the flux of radio-labeled mannitol for each insert which has to be lower than 0.5%/h.
f [14C] mannitol permeability value less than 1 ± 10-6 cm/s was used as a marker whether the monolayers integrity was acceptable.
g The data is shown as mean ± SEM.
h AP, apical; BL, basolateral.
i [14C] mannitol permeability and TEER values were employed as monolayer integrity markers.
j TEER = 360  · cm2.
k TEER = 980  · cm2.

Distribution
The volume of distribution of cimetidine, whether expressed as at steady-state (Vdss) or the area volume of distribution (Vd), is approximately 0.8-1.39 L/kg.[8],[11],[24] This value decreases with increasing age.[8] The plasma protein binding of cimetidine is as low as 19%[11] and consequently has no clinical and pharmacokinetic significance.

Metabolism and Excretion
Cimetidine and its metabolites are eliminated predominantly via the kidney.[8],[21] About 50%-80% of the dose given intravenously is recovered unchanged in urine.[8] Roughly 50% is obtained after oral administration.[21]
The elimination half-life of cimetidine (t\(1/2\)) after intravenous administration is approximately 2 h in healthy adults.[8],[26] Metabolism of cimetidine is accountable for 25%-40% of the total elimination of cimetidine and is dependent on age.[8] With respect to metabolism in the GI tract, the human jejunal perfusion study conducted by Hui et al.[38] demonstrated that cimetidine metabolite constituted 3% and 6% of the initial cimetidine concentration over 50 cm of jejunum in two subjects. The relatively low intestinal permeability and the metabolic processes together seem to be responsible for the low oral BA of cimetidine.

**DOSAGE FORM PERFORMANCE**

**Excipients**
A study of the effect of mannitol on cimetidine absorption obtained following administration of chewable tablets or oral solutions containing 200 mg cimetidine formulated with either 2.264 g of radio-labeled mannitol or radio-labeled sucrose demonstrated that the BA after administration of mannitol-containing cimetidine formulations was significantly lower, compared with those which contained sucrose.[39] It was suggested that a reduction of the GI transit time is the major reason for this reduced drug absorption. The amount of mannitol used was higher than the amounts usually present in IR solid oral dosage forms. An indication of the amounts usually present in dosage forms for drug products with a MA in the USA can be obtained from the FDA Inactive Ingredients Database.[40] For mannitol, the highest amount present in IR oral tablets with a MA in the USA is 607 mg,[40] which is less than 1/3 of the amount used in the study cited. The influence of sodium lauryl sulphate on cimetidine absorption has also been studied.[26] The ability of sodium lauryl sulphate to enhance permeability across cell monolayers has been demonstrated.[41] Sodium lauryl sulphate is employed in some formulations, including the innovator, Tagamet®. However, human in vivo results obtained from Tagamet® did not show significant differences when compared with formulations containing no sodium lauryl sulphate.[26]

Several investigations evaluating the effects of excipients have been conducted on the absorption of ranitidine, an API closely related to cimetidine.[42-44] These are discussed in the biowaiver monograph on ranitidine, for which it was concluded that osmotically active excipients in high concentrations reduce ranitidine BA by reducing the GI transit time.[4] This conclusion will be applicable to cimetidine also, in view of the similarity of both APIs and is further supported by the observations with mannitol.

The excipients in cimetidine IR products in DE, FI, and NL are listed in Table 2. In previous monographs MA s of IR solid oral dosage forms were taken as indicators that these drug products had successfully passed an in vivo BE test.[1] However, for cimetidine, this cannot be assumed. The bioavailability committee of the regulatory authorities of DE classified cimetidine in 1998 as an API for which in vivo BE testing was not considered necessary.[45] After the adoption of the European Note for Guidance BA/BE introducing BCS,[46] the authorities in DE harmonized their regulatory system with the European regulations. Thus, removal of cimetidine from the list of APIs for which in vivo BE studies were deemed unnecessary was not based on any incident with specific products and MAs granted under that provision were not revoked.[47] It cannot be excluded that FI and NL also have granted MAs without requiring in vivo BE studies. However, the drug products reported in Table 2 are in therapeutic use, and hence we can assume that, even in the unlikely situation that some of these drug products would not be bioequivalent to the innovator, these drug products are safe and effective.
Table 2. Excipients\(^a\) Present in Cimetidine IR Solid Oral Drug Products\(^b\) with a Marketing Authorization (MA) in Germany (DE), Finland (FI) and The Netherlands (NL), and the Minimal and Maximal Amount of these Excipients Present per Dosage Unit in Solid Oral Drug Products with an MA in the USA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excipient</th>
<th>Drug Products Containing that Excipient with a MA Granted by the Named Country</th>
<th>Range Present in Solid Oral Dosage Forms with a MA in the USA (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonio methacrylate copolymer type B</td>
<td>DE (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnauba wax</td>
<td>FI (2)</td>
<td>0.16-58(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>DE (1, 3-15); FI (2); NL (16-28)</td>
<td>4.6-1385(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croscarmellose sodium</td>
<td>DE (1); NL (29-31)</td>
<td>2-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crospovidone</td>
<td>NL (25)</td>
<td>4.4-792(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disodium edetate</td>
<td>DE (15); NL (16, 25)</td>
<td>0.21-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin</td>
<td>DE (3, 6, 14)</td>
<td>1-756(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>DE (3-6, 14); NL (20)</td>
<td>0.14-198(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxypropylcellulose</td>
<td>FI (2)</td>
<td>1-132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermellose</td>
<td>DE (1, 3-6, 8, 10-15); FI (2); NL (16-35)</td>
<td>0.8-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>NL (27, 29-31, 34, 35)</td>
<td>35-1020(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrogol</td>
<td>DE (1, 3-6, 8, 10-14); FI (2); NL (17-22, 24, 27-35)</td>
<td>0.12-500(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium stearate</td>
<td>DE (1, 3-15); FI (2); NL (16-35)</td>
<td>0.9-401(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize starch</td>
<td>DE (3-7, 9, 11, 14, 15); FI (2); NL (16, 20-24, 29-31, 34, 35)</td>
<td>9.9-1135(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylcellulose</td>
<td>DE (1)</td>
<td>2.8-184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysorbate 80</td>
<td>DE (8,10,12,13)</td>
<td>2.2-418(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato starch</td>
<td>NL (27)</td>
<td>2.1-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Povidone</td>
<td>DE (1, 4, 5, 7-13, 15); FI (2); NL (16-35)</td>
<td>0.17-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene glycol</td>
<td>DE (15); NL (16, 23, 25, 26)</td>
<td>1.5-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica</td>
<td>DE (1, 3, 6, 8, 10-14); NL (17-19, 28, 35)</td>
<td>0.65-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simeticone</td>
<td>DE (1)</td>
<td>0.0004-5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium lauryl sulphate</td>
<td>DE (4, 5, 7, 9, 15); NL (16, 19, 20, 22, 25, 29-31)</td>
<td>0.65-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium starch glycolate</td>
<td>DE (3-15); FI (2); NL (16-28, 32-35)</td>
<td>2-876(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbic acid</td>
<td>DE (1)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>NL (25)</td>
<td>22-616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic acid</td>
<td>NL (27)</td>
<td>0.9-72(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talc</td>
<td>DE (1, 8, 10-13); NL (21, 27, 29-32)</td>
<td>0.1-220(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triethyl citrate</td>
<td>DE (1)</td>
<td>3.6-8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources of data: DE: www.rote-liste.de (assessed 27/05/2005); FI: www.nam.fi (assessed 13/06/2005); NL: www.cbg-meb.nl (assessed 13/06/2005). USA: http://www.fda.gov/cder/iig/iigfaqWEB.htm#purpose (version date 06/05/2005).

1. Cimetidin AL 400/-800 Filmtabletten.
2. Cimex 400 mg tabletti, kalvpäälysteinen.
3. Cime 400/-800 mg AbZ Filmtabletten.
4. Cimebeta® 200/-400/-800 Filmtabletten.
5. CimeHEXAL® 200/-400/-800 Filmtabletten.
6. Cimetidin 200/-400/-800 von ct Filmtabletten.
7. Cimetidin acis® 200/-400/-800 mg Tabletten.
8. Cimetidin AL 200 Filmtabletten.
9. Cimetidin STADA® 200/-400/-800 mg Tablettten.
10. CimLich 200/-400/-800 mg Filmtabletten.
11. DuraH2 400 Filmtabletten.
12. DuraH2 800 Filmtabletten.
13. Gastroprotect® 400/-800 Filmtabletten.
15. Tagamet® 200/-400 mg Filmtabletten.
16. Tagamet 400/800 Tiltab, tabletten 400/800 mg.
17. Cimetidine 200/400/800 PCH, omulide tabletten.
22. Cimetidine 200 mg/400 mg, tabletten Katwijk Farma.
23. Cimetidine 800 mg, tabletten Katwijk Farma.
24. Cimetidine Alpharma 200 mg/400 mg, tabletten.
25. Tagamet-OTC 200, tabletten 200 mg.
27. Cimetidine 200/400/800 mg, tabletten Wise Pharmaceuticals.
29. Cimetidine 200/400/800 mg, tabletten GenRx.
31. Cimetidine FLX 200/400/800 mg, tabletten.
32. Cimetidine Gf 800 mg, tabletten MA 17233.
33. Cimetidine Alpharma 800 mg, tabletten.
34. Cimetidine 200/400 mg, tabletten Delphi Pharmaceuticals.
35. Cimetidine 800 mg, tabletten Delphi Pharmaceuticals.
   a Colorants are not included.
   b Dosage forms that are swallowed by the patient in liquid form, such as
      effervescent tablets, dispersible tablets, and also chewable tablets and oral
      suspensions, are excluded.
* The upper range value reported is unusual high for solid oral dosage forms
and the authors doubt its accuracy.
** The authors doubt the accuracy of these data. Such amounts are normally
present in a soft gelatin capsules, but not in capsules, as indicated by FDA
Inactive Ingredients Database.

Dissolution

In the cimetidine tablets monograph of the USP,[13] the dissolution specification
is not less than 80% (Q) dissolves within 15 min in 900 mL of 0.01 N HCl, using
the basket at 100 rpm. For one brand of generic cimetidine tablets, it was
demonstrated that both the f2 criterion for the similarity of dissolution
profiles[46],[48] and in vivo BE to the innovator were met.[49] The present
biowaiver criteria[46],[48] state that, in addition to similarity of dissolution
profiles, the test and the comparator drug product should both be rapidly
dissolving, which is defined as: not less than 85% of API releases within 30 min,
employing the dissolution conditions described therein. This same dissolution
method was recently employed for evaluating randomly selected IR cimetidine
drug products having a MA in DE and in Thailand.[26] It was found that all these
drug products exhibited rapidly dissolving characteristics within the BCS limit. In
addition, cimetidine tablets formulated to have distinct release characteristics
were investigated to determine the relationship between in vitro dissolution
behavior and in vivo absorption. It was found that the dissolution would become
rate-determining only when it falls below 85% in 120 min.[26] These results
strongly support the permeability rate-limiting properties of cimetidine drug
products. Reports of other BCS Class III APIs support these findings.[50-52] Polli
et al.[50] demonstrated comparable plasma concentration-time profiles for
ranitidine hydrochloride drug products, which had rapid in vitro release patterns in 900 mL water using paddle method at 50 rpm. The results demonstrated that there were differences in dissolution rate, but all four formulations were found to be bioequivalent in a four-way, single-dose in vivo BE study. The authors concluded that differences in dissolution rates observed earlier than 30 min had negligible consequences in vivo. Similar results were obtained for metformin drug products, noting that metformin is also BCS Class III.[51] Taken together, the data available to date for Class III compounds suggest that, at least for some, the biowaiver procedure may well be appropriate.

DISCUSSION

Solubility
According to the BCS criteria,[46],[48] the D:S ratio of highly soluble drug has to be less than or equal to 250 mL in the physiologically relevant pH range. Therefore, cimetidine is categorized as highly soluble, since its D:S ratio is 133 mL, based on 800 mg being the highest dose strength available and a solubility of 6 mg/mL.[53] This is in line with a Do of 0.53 reported earlier[18] as Do ± 250 = D:S. With a pKa of about 6.8, cimetidine would be even more soluble in more acidic pH conditions, indicating that no solubility-limiting problem is expected for cimetidine in the upper GI tract.

Permeability
The poor permeability characteristics of cimetidine, demonstrated by many studies, are summarized in Table 1. Several APIs, including cimetidine, were evaluated by human perfusion studies for their permeability through the jejunum by Amidon et al.[53] and Takamatsu et al.[54] In these studies, cimetidine was categorized as low permeability relative to the internal high permeability standards propranolol and phenylalanine and the internal zero permeability markers PEG 400 and PEG 4000. These internal standards are also suggested for use in establishing suitability of a permeability method in the FDA guidance.[48] In addition, Collett et al.[55] reported a higher value of cimetidine jejunal permeability compared to those of the aforementioned studies, yet, below the low permeability cut-off of 2 ± 10-4 cm/s.[18] The corresponding fraction absorbed is 0.5[56] and 0.62,[57] in reasonable accordance with the BA values reported.[8],[21],[26] This classification is supported by calculations of permeability based on its partition coefficient; C log P and log P values were lower than for metoprolol, the reference compound.[19]

The cimetidine permeability results obtained from cell cultures are variable and appear to be lower than the values obtained by human perfusion technique (Tab. 1).[58-63] In the previous monographs,[1] similar data have been reported with atenolol, which is also BCS Class III. The differences between in vitro permeability studies via cell cultures and in vivo intestinal perfusion technique in human can be explained. Cimetidine and atenolol are both hydrophilic and are transported paracellularly through the intestinal membrane. This route of transport is less available in cell monolayers, as reflected by the higher transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) compared with that of cell tissue of the small intestine, and may be responsible for lower permeability of APIs. In addition, types of cell culture, culturing media and transport media used as well as cell line itself are proven sources of variability in permeability evaluation by cell cultures.[64] Accordingly, permeability data obtained by human perfusion appear to be more reliable than those obtained from cell culture systems, especially for APIs transported by paracellular route. Permeability results of cimetidine using in situ single-pass intestinal perfusion in rat are also presented in Table 1.[37] The permeability values obtained in the single-pass intestine perfusion in rat were also lower than those observed in human studies.
In view of all the information evaluated, cimetidine can be reliably classified as not highly permeable.

**Surrogate Techniques for In Vivo Bioequivalence Testing**

Although only polymorphic form A is used in commercial tablets, it is noted that in vitro dissolution in water was able to detect other polymorphic forms. And if there is sufficient evidence that the excipients in the test product have no effect on the permeability or GI transit time, comparative dissolution testing can provide reasonable assurance for bioequivalency of the test product to its comparator.

In fact, it appears that the f2 criterion for similarity of dissolution profiles represents a rather conservative approach, both for cimetidine and, as previously shown, for other Class III APIs, since the rate-limiting step in the absorption process is the permeability, rather than the dissolution for these APIs.[4] Thus, it appears that the f2 criterion is more than sufficient to assure BE of cimetidine products in the absence of any excipient effects.

Risks for Bioinequivalence Caused by Excipients and/or Manufacturing Parameters

Because permeability is the critical step in the absorption of the BCS Class III APIs, excipients that alter the GI motility and/or membrane permeation have the highest potential to affect the absorption. However, the formulations of IR solid oral dosage forms containing cimetidine seem to exhibit little risk in terms of BE, as can be concluded from the lack of a detectable in vivo interaction with sodium lauryl sulphate.[26] Moreover, the recent study on cimetidine drug products with a current MA in DE and Thailand also showed that there is little risk of reaching an incorrect biowaiver decision when dissolution results are similar.[26]

For the excipients listed in Table 2, it was concluded that there is little risk of clinical issues resulting from substitution for drug products in which these excipients are utilized. This observation is further supported by Yu et al.,[65] which reported that commonly used excipients used to formulate BCS Class III APIs in IR solid oral dosage forms had no significant effect on their absorption. The risk of adverse clinical effects resulting from substitution is likely to be even smaller if an excipient is present in a large number of registered drug products, provided these excipients are present in amounts typically used in IR solid oral dosage forms. When these two criteria are met, together with the rapidly dissolving criterion as per BCS,[46],[48] a high level of assurance for excluding bioinequivalent drug products exists. Hence, for cimetidine, the risks for bioinequivalence caused by excipients and/or manufacturing parameters is smaller than those for IR drug products in general, for which the FDA SUPAC IR Guideline requires in vivo BE qualification of major changes, that is, Level 3 changes in terms of composition and/or manufacturing process.[66] However, as the SUPAC IR Guideline covers also APIs of BCS Class II and IV, it is of necessity more conservative.

**Patient’s Risks Associated with Bioinequivalence**

Cimetidine has a wide therapeutic index and is not indicated for very serious diseases. Therefore, even in the unlikely situation that a bioinequivalent drug product would pass all the qualification criteria summarized above, and hence give rise to supra- or subtherapeutic serum levels, this would be unlikely to result in serious public health concerns.
CONCLUSION

According to the present regulations, cimetidine falls into BCS Class III.[46],[48] Other workers also classified cimetidine as BCS Class III.[19],[67] For IR solid oral drug products, biowaivers currently can only be requested for drug products containing BCS Class I APIs.[46],[48] However, the data evaluated and discussed in this monograph show that it would be safe to grant biowaivers for IR solid oral dosage forms of cimetidine, provided that the test product is formulated with excipients shown in Table 2, in amounts typically used in IR solid oral dosage forms. An indication of the amounts usually present in dosage forms for API products with an MA in the USA can be obtained from the FDA Inactive Ingredients Database.[40] Moreover, both the test product and the comparator drug product must be rapidly dissolving according to the current BCS criteria and the f2 criterion met,[46],[48] although it is noted that the f2 criterion for similarity of dissolution profiles tends to be on the conservative side for cimetidine IR products. Biowaivers for other BCS Class III APIs have also been evaluated to be reasonably safe, provided similar conditions are met.[1],[4],[50-52]
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