

Differentiating Host-Associated Variants of *Mycobacterium avium* by PCR for Detection of Large Sequence Polymorphisms

Makeda Semret,¹ Christine Y. Turenne,¹ Petra de Haas,² Desmond M. Collins,³ and Marcel A. Behr^{1*}

McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada,¹ National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, 3720BA Bilthoven, The Netherlands,² AgResearch, Wallaceville Animal Research Centre, Upper Hutt, New Zealand³

ABSTRACT

The *Mycobacterium avium* species consists of a group of organisms that are genetically related but phenotypically diverse, with certain variants presenting clear differences in terms of their host association and disease manifestations. The ability to distinguish between these subtypes is of relevance for accurate diagnosis and for control programs. Using a comparative genomics approach, we have uncovered large sequence polymorphisms that are, respectively, absent from bird-type *M. avium* isolates and from cattle types and sheep types of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. By evaluating the distribution of these genomic polymorphisms across a panel of strains, we were able to assign unique genomic signatures to these host-associated variants. We propose a simple PCR-based strategy based on these polymorphisms that can rapidly type *M. avium* isolates into these subgroups.

INTRODUCTION

Mycobacterium avium organisms responsible for Johne's disease, avian tuberculosis, and opportunistic infections in humans have been classified as belonging to one species based on numerical taxonomy (27), DNA-DNA hybridization (20), and 16S rRNA sequencing (29). This shared designation has placed emphasis on the genetic relatedness of these organisms. Yet, there is ample evidence that members of this group exhibit phenotypic differences in laboratory characteristics and have widely varying propensities to cause disease in different hosts. In agreement with this diversity, *M. avium* organisms differ according to the presence of genetic elements, such as insertion elements (11, 12, 14), and also vary extensively at certain genomic regions (18, 22, 23).

Of the *M. avium* organisms, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* presents a clear diagnostic concern, as it is a serious pathogen of cattle and other ruminants and a potential zoonotic agent (1, 2, 4, 5, 13, 21). Traditionally identified through phenotypic assays, and more recently by the presence of the insertion element IS900, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* can now be specifically characterized by the deletion of a large sequence called LSP^A 8 that is present in other *M. avium* organisms (22). Whole-genome comparisons of a small number of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates have shown relatively few genomic differences among strains (18, 23), suggesting genomic features specific to tested strains should lend themselves to robust diagnostic algorithms. One source of variability described within *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* is the existence of two host-associated types: the more prevalent cattle (C) strains, also known as type II strains, and the rarer sheep (S) strains, also called type I strains. These types

have been distinguished through molecular fingerprints and PCR assays exploiting the variable presence of the mobile insertion elements IS900 and IS1311 (7, 8, 25). The ability to both detect and differentiate between these types of strains is of obvious importance for both accurate diagnosis and to guide control programs.

Among *M. avium* members other than *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, phenotypic and genetic studies suggest the existence of two principal groups of organisms. Certain strains are characterized by the presence of the insertion element IS901 and a particular molecular fingerprint based on the closely related insertion sequences IS1311 and IS1245 (6, 14) referred to in some papers in which low-stringency hybridization was used as based solely on IS1245 (16, 19). These strains are associated with severe disease in domestic and wild birds and are thought to cluster into a unique group, called *M. avium* subsp. *avium* (16, 19). The genetic distinction between this group and the subspecies known as *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum* is not yet clear. In contrast, the remaining *M. avium* strains manifest a diverse range of IS1245/IS1311 patterns and are pathogens of pigs and opportunistic pathogens of susceptible humans. These observations suggest that nonparatuberculosis *M. avium* organisms might be divided into two epidemiologically relevant variants, those called *M. avium* subsp. *avium* or bird-type *M. avium* and the rest, recently named *M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis* (16).

The goal of our study was to determine if these different variants of *M. avium* could be structured into distinct genomic profiles. Specifically, we hypothesized that cattle and sheep strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* may be associated with unique genomic signatures, that bird-type *M. avium* strains would constitute a separate cluster of organisms with their own genomic profile, and that PCR-based testing for these regions would provide a robust method of identifying these *M. avium* variants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolates. We assembled a panel of *M. avium* isolates, representative of each of the subspecies, selected on the basis that they were isolated from different hosts and from different geographic provenance. The isolates had been cultured with standard mycobacterial media with or without mycobactin J supplementation, and DNA extraction was performed according to standard methods (30).

All isolates were initially identified as *M. avium* based on 16S rRNA sequencing and were further subspeciesiated based on mycobactin J dependence and presence of IS900 (*M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*; $n = 21$), initial mycobactin J dependence and presence of IS901 (*M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum*; $n = 3$), or mycobactin J independence and presence of IS1245/IS1311 (*M. avium* subsp. *avium* or *M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis*; $n = 23$), for a total of 47 isolates.

The *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates were further characterized as C type ($n = 11$) or as S type ($n = 10$) by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) with IS900 (6) and additionally by PCR testing with primers able to distinguish between these two types (7). Strains were carefully chosen to ensure that they originated from different geographic locations and, further, had nonidentical S or C IS900 fingerprints. The *M. avium* subsp. *avium* and *M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis* isolates were characterized as bird type (*M. avium* subsp. *avium*; $n = 13$) or as multiband, hominissuis type (*M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis*; $n = 10$) by RFLP with IS1245/IS1311 (16).

Microarray-based genomic comparisons. Comparative genomic studies were performed on a whole-genome DNA microarray composed of 70-bp oligonucleotide probes, printed in duplicate on microarray slides (Sigmascreen; Sigma) using a microarray robot (Chipwriter model SDDC2; Virtek). The array is representative of 98% of the open reading frames (ORFs) for the genome of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* strain K10 and 93% of the predicted ORFs for the genome of *M. avium* strain 104. DNA from *M. avium* 104 (the reference sequenced strain, a clinical isolate from a human AIDS patient in the United States) served as the comparator DNA in cohybridization experiments with the following test isolates: two *M. avium* subsp. *avium* strains characterized as bird type by RFLP (strains R13 and D71076), two *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum* strains (ATCC 49884 and 9800851), two *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* strains of the cattle type (sequenced strain K10, synonymous with ATCC BAA-968, and strain 17, a clinical isolate from a bison), and two *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* strains of the sheep type (strains LN20 and 4857). Fluorescent labeling of the DNA samples and hybridizations were performed according to previously described methods (23), and arrays were scanned using a confocal scanner (ScanArray Lite; Packard BioScience, Massachusetts). Fluorescence intensities were quantified using a software package (ScanArray Express version 2; Packard BioScience, Massachusetts). The fluorescence ratio for each spot was determined; this ratio was \log_{10} transformed and then normalized with respect to the mean value of the fluorescence ratios. A Z value [$Z = (\log_{10} \text{ of the fluorescence ratio}) - (\text{mean/standard deviation})$] was calculated for each spot, and spots with a Z score of greater than 2 were flagged for study. We screened for regions of three or more contiguous ORFs which hybridized with DNA from *M. avium* strain 104 but not with test isolates. Regions that were thus identified as divergent in the test isolates were further analyzed using a PCR approach. We first verified that these sequences were missing from test isolates using primers designed towards a sequence internal to these regions. In a second step, we performed PCR using primers designed towards the flanking regions, such that an amplicon would be obtained only if the region were missing. The resulting amplicons were sequenced in a core sequencing facility (McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre) on a 3730XL DNA Analyzer system, using ABI dye terminator chemistry. Resulting sequences were aligned to the genomes of *M. avium* 104 and *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10 to identify the exact site at which the sequence polymorphism occurs.

Testing for the distribution of specific LSPs across a panel of isolates.

Each isolate in our panel was first tested for the presence or absence of LSP^A 8, a large sequence previously shown to be specifically missing from *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (22). This was done to ensure that isolates designated as *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* as per conventional methods (phenotypic characterization and presence of IS900) conformed to a uniform genomic definition of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. In a subsequent step, isolates were tested for the presence or absence of the large sequences identified by microarray as potentially associated with distinct groups of organisms. This was done with a multiplex PCR approach using a set of three primers: two primers (forward and reverse) designed towards the flanking regions (bridging primers) of the large sequence polymorphism (LSP) and a third primer designed to recognize a sequence internal to the LSP (internal primer). The primers were designed using Primer 3 software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3_www.cgi), and primers were designed such that the resulting PCR products would be of different sizes depending on the presence or absence of the LSP under study. Primer sequences and predicted amplicon lengths are provided in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Primers used for testing of LSPs

Name of LSP	Primer 1 sequence (forward)	Primer 2 sequence (reverse)	Primer 3 sequence (reverse)	Product size (bp) ^a	
				LSP present	LSP absent
LSP ^A 8	CCAGGTCGAAGAGGTGCTC	CCTATACCGCCAACGACATC	GTGCTGCCGTCCAGGTAG	222	399
LSP ^A 17	CTGGAGTACTTCCACGACCA	GTCCAGGAAGAACCGGAAC	GCACTCGAATTCACGAAATG	202	398
LSP ^A 20	GGCGTTACAGAATTGCCTTG	GCTCGAAGTTGGAGATCAGG	GTACGTGGTGACCAATGTCTG	197	306
LSP ^A 4-II	TAGAAGGTGCGGGAAAGTTG	GTCTATCTGGCGGTGCTCTC	GTCGAAGCAGCGTTGATTGT	540	462
LSP ^A 18	GACGTCCTGATCATCGGTTTC	GTCCACCGAGGAGGTCTAG			1,967
LSP ^A 18	GTAGTAGTCGTGCGCCAGCA	GTGGGATCACGACCTGGAC		403	

^a For all but one LSP (LSP^A 18), PCR tests were done using the three primers in a multiplex PCR; expected product sizes are listed in the last two columns. Primers 1 and 3 are the bridging primers, and primer 2 is the internal primer. For LSP^A 18, PCR testing was performed using two primers only, such that a product was obtained with one set of (bridging) primers when the region was missing and one set of (internal) primers when the region was present.

PCRs. PCRs were performed in 50- μ l volumes, using 5 μ l (equivalent to 5 ng) of DNA. For all but one set of reaction mixtures, we used 1 U *Taq* polymerase (MBI Fermentas), 5 μ l of 10x PCR buffer (MBI Fermentas), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 5 μ l acetamide 50% (wt/vol), 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), and 0.5 μ M of each primer. In one instance where the expected product was close to 2 kb (LSP^A 18), we were unable to design a reliable three-primer PCR because of the presence of an insertion element and a sequence inversion; therefore, we did separate PCRs for the presence or the absence of this genomic polymorphism. In this case, to amplify the 2-kb product, we used 3.75 U *Taq* polymerase and 5 μ l of 10x buffer 3 (Expand Long template PCR system; Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN), 5 μ l acetamide 50% (wt/vol), 0.4 mM lithium-stabilized dNTPs (dNTP set Li-salt solution; Roche Diagnostics Corporation), and 0.5 μ M of each primer. For all reactions, PCR amplification consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 s, with annealing at 60°C (LSP^A 8) or 55°C (other LSPs) for 45 s, elongation at 72°C for 2 min, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in 1.5% (wt/vol) agarose gels containing ethidium bromide. When the amplicon indicated that the LSP was missing, this PCR product was subjected to sequencing to ensure that the exact same polymorphism was detected in each isolate.

RESULTS

From analysis of DNA microarray-based cohybridization experiments, we identified three large sequences that were polymorphic among the four strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* studied by microarray. Of these, two sequences, LSP^A 4-II and LSP^A 18, were present in both of the S strains but missing from the two C strains. Another sequence, LSP^A 20, was present in the C strains but missing from the S strains. We also identified one large sequence, LSP^A 17, that was missing from the *M. avium* subsp. *avium* bird-type strains and *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum* but present in *M. avium* 104 and the *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* strains studied by microarray. These LSPs and their distribution across a panel of isolates are described in greater detail below.

Description of sequences polymorphic in strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. (i) LSP^A 4-II. From previous genomic comparisons of members of the *M. avium* complex, we had identified LSP 4, a large sequence present in *M. avium* 104 but missing in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10 (23). In the former, this 197-kb sequence is located within the mycobactin synthesis operon between *mbtA* and *mbtJ*. In *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10, LSP 4 is replaced by a different, 19-kb sequence called LSP^P 12 (MAP 2179 to MAP 2197), determined by PCR to be highly specific to *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates (22). Microarray data for C and S strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* indicated that LSP^P 12 was present in both but that a 26-kb segment of the *M. avium* LSP 4 element was present only in the S strains. These results suggested that 171 kb of LSP 4 was present in *M. avium* 104 but absent from S strains and that a further 26-kb segment was deleted from C strains; we named these two polymorphisms at the same locus LSP^A 4-I and LSP^A 4-II, respectively (Fig. 1A).




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of large sequence polymorphisms. LSP^A 4-II and LSP^A 18 are specifically absent from *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* cattle type, LSP^A 20 is absent from *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* sheep type, and LSP^A 17 is absent from *M. avium* subsp. *avium* bird type. Coordinates on the genome are given as base pairs, starting from the first nucleotide of the start codon of *dnaA* in *M. avium* 104 and *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10, respectively. White boxes represent homologous sequences across *M. avium* 104, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* sheep type, and *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* cattle type. (A) LSP^A 4-II is depicted by the black box, LSP^A 4-I is depicted by the striped box, and LSP^P 12 is indicated by the gray box. (B)

The striped box represents a large sequence that is conserved but inverted in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* cattle type, and the black box represents LSP^A 18. (C) The black box represents LSP^A 20. (D) The black box represents LSP^A 17. Thick arrows represent primers flanking the LSP (bridging primers); a PCR product is obtained if the region is missing. Thin arrows represent primers targeting a sequence that is within the LSP (internal primers); a PCR product is obtained if the region is present.

(ii) LSP^A 18. LSP^A 18 is a 16-kb sequence present in *M. avium* subsp. *avium* and S strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* that was absent in C strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. This sequence is immediately adjacent to an 800-kb sequence that is conserved in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10 (C type) but inverted in relation to *M. avium* 104 and S strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. In *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10, this sequence is replaced by an IS900 element, MAP4281 (Fig. 1B).

(iii) LSP^A 20. LSP^A 20, an 8-kb sequence spanning MAP1490 to MAP1484c, was absent from S strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. This sequence is predicted to encode proteins involved in metabolism, notably includes genes annotated as putatively encoding pyruvate dehydrogenases, and is highly conserved in other mycobacteria, including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Sequence analysis of S strains indicated that MAP1490 and MAP1484c were both truncated compared to the annotated ORF in C strains, with the polymorphism occurring at position 1633228 of the *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10 genome, suggesting that the loss of LSP^A 20 is a deletion event that occurred selectively in S strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (Fig. 1C).

(iv) Distribution of large sequence polymorphisms among strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*: LSP^A 8. All 21 strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates in this study lacked the LSP^A 8 sequence, while the sequence was detected as present in all non-*M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates, as shown by a multiplex PCR approach (Fig. 2). In a previous report, we noted that in a small minority of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates, the absence of LSP^A 8 could not be demonstrated but that intervening sequence could not be amplified for these samples (22). In the present study, the absence of this region was 100% sensitive for *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, suggesting a now-resolved technical limitation in our previous report (Table 2).




FIG. 2. Detection of subspecies and subtypes of *M. avium* using PCR for large sequences polymorphic among strains of *M. avium*. On the top panel, 11 samples were tested for LSP^A 8 using a three-primer PCR. Lanes: L, 100-bp ladder; 1 to 4, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* strains; 5 and 6, nonparatuberculosis strains of *M. avium*; 9, mixed sample (*M. avium* 104 and *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10); 10, *M. intracellulare* ATCC 13950 strain; 11, water. In the bottom panel, four samples were tested for LSP^A 20 and four samples are tested for LSP^A 17 using three-primer PCRs. Lanes: L, 100-bp ladder; 1 and 2, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* C type; 3 and 4, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* S type; 5, water; 6 and 7, *M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis*; 8 and 9, *M. avium* subsp. *avium* bird type; 10, water.

TABLE 2. PCR testing for presence or absence of LSPs across a panel of *M. avium* isolates^a

Isolate	Isolate identity	Strain type ^b	Host	Origin of isolate	LSP ^A						
					IS900	IS901	8	17	20	4-II	18
K10	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Cow	USA	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
17	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Bison	Canada	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
989	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Cow	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
6024	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Cow	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
316	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Cow	United Kingdom	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
6770B	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Deer	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
6354	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Deer	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
1515	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Human	USA (ATCC 43015)	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
TMC 1613	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Cow	USA	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
1518	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Cow	USA (ATCC 19698)	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
7296	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	C	Deer	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
LN 20	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Pig	Canada	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
4857	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Sheep	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
4873	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Sheep	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
6758	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Sheep	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
506c	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Sheep	South Africa	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
575A	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Sheep	South Africa	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
85/14	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Sheep	Canada	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
P465	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Sheep	Iceland	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
6282	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Deer	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
3579	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	S	Deer	New Zealand	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
9801574	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>silvaticum</i>	B	Bird (penguin)	Belgium	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
9800851	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>silvaticum</i>	B	Bird (wood pigeon)	Belgium	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
49884	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>silvaticum</i>	B	Bird (wood pigeon)	France (ATCC 49884)	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
R13	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
160-74	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (goose)	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
D71076	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (owl)	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
9501266	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (chicken)	Argentina	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
34211	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (spoonbill)	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
726	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (pigeon)	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+

1262	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (owl)	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
238	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (black swan)	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
469	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (parrot)	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
33599/16	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Bird (peacock)	The Netherlands	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
Wag 205	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Cow	New Zealand	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
Wag 206	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Cow	New Zealand	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
Strain 18	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>avium</i>	B	Cow	USA	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
9602012	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Human	The Netherlands	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Wag 207	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Deer	New Zealand	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Wag 208	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Deer	New Zealand	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
104	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Human	USA	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
9601596	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Human	The Netherlands	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
9601288	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Human	The Netherlands	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
ATCC700897	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Human	USA (ATCC700897)	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
9601544	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Human	The Netherlands	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
9601034	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Human	The Netherlands	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
9700642	<i>M. avium</i> subsp. <i>hominissuis</i>	HS	Pig	The Netherlands	-	-	+	+	+	+	+

^a +, sequence present; -, sequence absent. Results are based on the presence or absence of PCR products of different sizes.

^b Strain type abbreviations: C, cattle (type II); S, sheep (type I); B, bird; HS, hominissuis.

LSPs absent from C strains. All 11 C strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* lacked LSP^A 4-II, and sequencing of their PCR products revealed identical sequences with a truncated *mbtA* gene (MAP2178). In contrast, LSP^A 4-II amplified as present in S strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* and all nonparatuberculosis *M. avium* strains studied. All C strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* also lacked LSP^A 18. With primers flanking the LSP^A 18 sequence and under PCR conditions that were optimized to amplify a 2-kb product across an IS900 element, we were able to successfully obtain a PCR product in all 11 C strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* and in none of the S strains. We sequenced these amplicons and confirmed they were identical to the sequence of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10. In contrast, the presence of LSP^A 18 was demonstrated in all other strains by PCR using primers internal to the sequence.

LSP absent from S strains. All S strains studied lacked LSP^A 20, which was present in all C strains, as demonstrated by a multiplex PCR approach. Sequences obtained from all 10 S strains were identical, with the polymorphism occurring at the exact same site and confirming the truncation of the ORFs of each end of this sequence.

Sequence polymorphic in *M. avium* strains isolated from birds: LSP^A 17.

Analysis of the cohybridization experiments of *M. avium* 104 with two strains of *M. avium* subsp. *avium* characterized as bird type and two isolates labeled *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum* revealed the consistent absence of a 6-kb sequence called LSP^A 17, spanning MAP1375c to MAP1381c. From in silico analyses of the genomes of *M. avium* 104 and *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K10, we determined that this region is conserved between these genomes (sequence identity of 98%), although a 253-bp portion situated in the middle of this sequence is missing from the latter. This polymorphism occurs at the same junction site in *M. avium* subsp. *avium* as well as in strains called *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum*, corresponding to position 1493907 of the *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* strain K10 genome (Fig. 1D). LSP^A 17 contains several genes with homology to those encoding short-chain dehydrogenases, in addition to a transcriptional regulator of the LysR family. Of note, LSP^A 17 is not syntenous with the genome of the *M. tuberculosis* complex and does not appear to be conserved in other mycobacteria.

From testing for the presence or absence of this region using a multiplex PCR assay, we noted that the 12 *M. avium* subsp. *avium* isolates of the bird type and the 3 isolates designated as *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum* all lacked LSP^A 17. This region was detected as present in all *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates and in the majority of *M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis* type isolates. Exceptionally, we determined that one *M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis* isolate, obtained from a patient with AIDS, also lacked this sequence. We further characterized this isolate by amplification and sequencing of the 3' end of the *hsp65* gene, a genotyping method that we recently applied towards classification of *M. avium* organisms (28). The *M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis* isolate that lacked LSP^A 17 was shown to differ from the bird-type *hsp65* sequevar (code 4) by only one SNP and belonged to the sequevar called code 3. Consistent with other strains belonging to this sequevar, the isolate did not possess IS901, an insertion element generally found to be present in bird strains of *M. avium*. To determine if the absence of LSP^A 17 might be a feature of code 3 strains, we tested five other isolates belonging to this sequevar and noted that these isolates also lacked LSP^A 17. These data suggest that the absence of LSP^A 17 is a shared feature of code 3 strains and bird strains and is therefore sensitive for *M. avium* subsp. *avium* but not perfectly specific.

DISCUSSION

M. avium organisms present many phenotypic differences between and within subspecies, leading to concerted efforts to understand their genomic diversity. In this work, we uncovered large regions of genomic differences between phenotypically and genetically distinct subsets of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* and nonparatuberculosis *M. avium* isolates. The recognition of these sequence differences facilitates their use in determining which *M. avium* subsets are associated with human and veterinary diseases. As has been done with polymorphisms of the *M. tuberculosis* complex (3, 15), we have adopted a three-primer PCR strategy that is practical and immediately applicable in diagnostic and reference laboratories (17, 26).

M. avium subsp. *avium* strains (generally associated with severe disease in birds) consistently lack a large sequence, LSP^A 17, although the absence of this region was not strictly restricted to this cluster. Isolates previously designated as *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum* also lacked this sequence and could not specifically be identified with a particular genomic profile. This is in agreement with our recent typing scheme for *M. avium* isolates, in which bird-type *M. avium* and *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum* could not be distinguished (28). In that *hsp65*-based study, we

noted that some IS901-negative strains associated with disseminated disease in AIDS patients had a closely related sequevar which differed from that of bird-type *M. avium* by just one SNP. Both these groups, termed *hsp65* code 3 and code 4, lacked LSP^A 17, suggesting that this LSP event preceded the SNP that distinguishes these two lineages.

Our findings also show that S and C strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* have undergone distinct evolutionary paths. One sequence, LSP^A 20, appears to represent a genomic deletion specific to S strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. Conversely, two other sequences, LSP^A 18 and LSP^A 4-II, were absent from all C strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* tested but present in S strains, likely indicating deletions characteristic of the C lineage of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. Because these represent complex genetic events, the genomic evidence points to S strains as being closer to the *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* ancestor and C strains having a more derivative status (Fig. 1). Our data for the regions missing from C strains of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* are in agreement with and expand upon findings from a representational difference analysis-based study that identified three loci missing from type II (C type) strains (9). The 233-bp locus they identified as pig-RDA10 (AY266300) forms part of the 16-kb region we called LSP^A 18. The 197-bp locus pig-RDA20 (AY266301) is located within the 26-kb segment we called LSP^A 4-II. While the third locus they describe (AY266302) also forms part of a larger segment, microarray-based comparisons indicated that this segment was also variably missing from nonparatuberculosis *M. avium* isolates (unpublished observations); therefore, the loss of this region does not appear to be specifically associated with *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*.

In this work we focused only on regions consistently associated with distinct *M. avium* subgroups, as these would have the greatest applicability for diagnostic laboratories. We have not confirmed genomic differences proposed by microarrays that distinguish among closely related *M. avium* organisms and, thus, it is possible that other large sequences are missing from selected groups of strains. For instance, in previous work we identified an LSP (called LSP 11) which was missing in the S strain tested by microarray (23). Further analysis revealed that this region was missing from only a subset of S strains, indicating that even within subgroups or clusters of strains significant genomic variability may exist and may be of value for molecular epidemiologic applications.

For any typing method, the optimal utility depends on the question being asked and the available technologies. In comparison to sequencing of the 3' region of *hsp65* (28), this LSP-based PCR method is of lower resolution but greater simplicity (Fig. 3). Reassuringly, the two methods provide consistent results, in that lineages defined by *hsp65* sequencing were branded by a shared LSP profile. This PCR-based method was not able to reliably distinguish the *Mycobacterium intracellulare* species; testing for the presence or absence of LSP^A 8 on a small number of *M. intracellulare* strains gave ambiguous results (Fig. 2). The little sequence information that is currently available for this species and our *hsp65* sequencing results provide evidence that the *M. intracellulare* species is clearly separate from the *M. avium* group and, further, that there is genetic diversity among the former. Therefore, testing using this LSP-based PCR method should be reserved for isolates determined to belong to the *M. avium* species by AccuProbe or alternate methods.




FIG. 3. Diagnostic algorithm for PCR-based identification and typing of an *M. avium* isolate. *, testing for the presence or absence of LSP^A 4-II or LSP^A 18 are other alternatives for typing *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates into cattle or sheep types.

Unlike *hsp65*-based sequencing, PCR for LSPs is restricted to testing for the currently described genomic variations. However, with the addition of reactions to test for newly described polymorphic regions, this modality will be readily applicable to testing for other variants of *M. avium* and can ultimately be packaged in the form of a deligotype platform (10). An advantage of PCR-based testing is the capacity to detect mixed infections (Fig. 2), a recognized concern with *M. avium* disease (24), in which case the sequencing of *hsp65* may only return the result for the predominant clone. Finally, in settings where sequencing is not readily available or large volumes of isolates are to be screened, PCR with the primers we have described can provide an immediate gel-based indication of which *M. avium* variant is present and stimulate additional testing by other methods as indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council (grant number GEN2282399). M.S. is funded by the Fonds de la Recherche en Sante du Quebec. M.B. is a New Investigator of the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

None of the authors have a conflict of interest or any commercial association that may pose a conflict of interest.

We thank Fiona McIntosh for her assistance with microarray experiments and Murray E. Hines III for providing DNA for "strain 18," formerly identified as "MAP 18."

FOOTNOTES

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Division of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology, A5-156, Montreal General Hospital, 1650 Cedar Avenue, Montreal, QC H3G 1A4 Canada. Phone: (514) 934-1934, ext. 42815. Fax: (514) 934-8423. E-mail: marcel.behr@mcgill.ca

REFERENCES

1. Autschbach, F., S. Eisold, U. Hinz, S. Zinser, M. Linnebacher, T. Giese, T. Loffler, M. W. Buchler, and J. Schmidt. 2005. High prevalence of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies paratuberculosis IS900 DNA in gut tissues from individuals with Crohn's disease. *Gut* 54:944-949.
2. Behr, M. A., M. Semret, A. Poon, and E. Schurr. 2004. Crohn's disease, mycobacteria, and NOD2. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 4:136-137.
3. Behr, M. A., M. A. Wilson, W. P. Gill, H. Salamon, G. K. Schoolnik, S. Rane, and P. M. Small. 1999. Comparative genomics of BCG vaccines by whole-genome DNA microarray. *Science* 284:1520-1523.
4. Bull, T. J., E. J. McMinn, K. Sidi-Boumedine, A. Skull, D. Durkin, P. Neild, G. Rhodes, R. Pickup, and J. Hermon-Taylor. 2003. Detection and verification of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis in fresh ileocolonic mucosal biopsy specimens from individuals with and without Crohn's disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41:2915-2923.
5. Chacon, O., L. E. Bermudez, and R. G. Barletta. 2004. Johne's disease, inflammatory bowel disease, and *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 58:329-363.
6. Collins, D. M., S. Cavaignac, and G. W. de Lisle. 1997. Use of four DNA insertion sequences to characterize strains of the *Mycobacterium avium* complex isolated from animals. *Mol. Cell Probes.* 11:373-380.
7. Collins, D. M., M. De Zoete, and S. M. Cavaignac. 2002. *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis strains from cattle and sheep can be distinguished by a PCR test based on a novel DNA sequence difference. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40:4760-4762.
8. Collins, D. M., D. M. Gabric, and G. W. de Lisle. 1990. Identification of two groups of *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* strains by restriction endonuclease analysis and DNA hybridization. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 28:1591-1596.
9. Dohmann, K., B. Strommenger, K. Stevenson, L. de Juan, J. Stratmann, V. Kapur, T. J. Bull, and G. F. Gerlach. 2003. Characterization of genetic differences between *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis type I and type II isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41:5215-5223.
10. Goguet de la Salmoniere, Y. O., C. C. Kim, A. G. Tsolaki, A. S. Pym, M. S. Siegrist, and P. M. Small. 2004. High-throughput method for detecting genomic-deletion polymorphisms. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 42:2913-2918.
11. Green, E. P., M. L. Tizard, M. T. Moss, J. Thompson, D. J. Winterbourne, J. J. McFadden, and J. Hermon-Taylor. 1989. Sequence and characteristics of IS900, an insertion element identified in a human Crohn's disease isolate of *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 17:9063-9073.
12. Guerrero, C., C. Bernasconi, D. Burki, T. Bodmer, and A. Telenti. 1995. A novel insertion element from *Mycobacterium avium*, IS1245, is a specific target for analysis of strain relatedness. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 33:304-307.
13. Harris, N. B., and R. G. Barletta. 2001. *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis in veterinary medicine. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 14:489-512.
14. Johansen, T. B., B. Djonne, M. R. Jensen, and I. Olsen. 2005. Distribution of IS1311 and IS1245 in *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies revisited. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 43:2500-2502.
15. Mahairas, G. G., P. J. Sabo, M. J. Hickey, D. C. Singh, and C. K. Stover. 1996. Molecular analysis of genetic differences between *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG and virulent *M. bovis*. *J. Bacteriol.* 178:1274-1282.
16. Mijs, W., P. de Haas, R. Rossau, L. T. Van der, L. Rigouts, F. Portaels, and D. van Soolingen. 2002. Molecular evidence to support a proposal to reserve the designation *Mycobacterium*

- avium subsp. avium for bird-type isolates and "M. avium subsp. hominissuis" for the human/porcine type of M. avium. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 52:1505-1518.
17. Parsons, L. M., R. Brosch, S. T. Cole, A. Somoskovi, A. Loder, G. Bretzel, D. van Soolingen, Y. M. Hale, and M. Salfinger. 2002. Rapid and simple approach for identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex isolates by PCR-based genomic deletion analysis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40:2339-2345.
 18. Paustian, M. L., V. Kapur, and J. P. Bannantine. 2005. Comparative genomic hybridizations reveal genetic regions within the *Mycobacterium avium* complex that are divergent from *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* isolates. *J. Bacteriol.* 187:2406-2415.
 19. Pavlik, I., P. Svastova, J. Bartl, L. Dvorska, and I. Rychlik. 2000. Relationship between IS901 in the *Mycobacterium avium* complex strains isolated from birds, animals, humans, and the environment and virulence for poultry. *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* 7:212-217.
 20. Saxegaard, F., and I. Baess. 1988. Relationship between *Mycobacterium avium*, *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* and "wood pigeon mycobacteria." Determinations by DNA-DNA hybridization. *APMIS* 96:37-42.
 21. Sechi, L. A., A. M. Scanu, P. Molicotti, S. Cannas, M. Mura, G. Dettori, G. Fadda, and S. Zanetti. 2005. Detection and isolation of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* from intestinal mucosal biopsies of patients with and without Crohn's disease in Sardinia. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 100:1529-1536.
 22. Semret, M., D. C. Alexander, C. Y. Turenne, P. de Haas, P. Overduin, D. van Soolingen, D. Cousins, and M. A. Behr. 2005. Genomic polymorphisms for *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* diagnostics. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 43:3704-3712.
 23. Semret, M., G. Zhai, S. Mostowy, C. Cleto, D. Alexander, G. Cangelosi, D. Cousins, D. M. Collins, D. van Soolingen, and M. A. Behr. 2004. Extensive genomic polymorphism within *Mycobacterium avium*. *J. Bacteriol.* 186:6332-6334.
 24. Slutsky, A. M., R. D. Arbeit, T. W. Barber, J. Rich, C. F. von Reyn, W. Pieciak, M. A. Barlow, and J. N. Maslow. 1994. Polyclonal infections due to *Mycobacterium avium* complex in patients with AIDS detected by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of sequential clinical isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 32:1773-1778.
 25. Stevenson, K., V. M. Hughes, L. de Juan, N. F. Inglis, F. Wright, and J. M. Sharp. 2002. Molecular characterization of pigmented and nonpigmented isolates of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40:1798-1804.
 26. Talbot, E. A., D. L. Williams, and R. Frothingham. 1997. PCR identification of *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 35:566-569.
 27. Thorel, M. F., M. Krichevsky, and V. V. Levy-Frebault. 1990. Numerical taxonomy of mycobactin-dependent mycobacteria, emended description of *Mycobacterium avium*, and description of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *avium* subsp. nov., *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* subsp. nov., and *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *silvaticum* subsp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 40:254-260.
 28. Turenne, C. Y., M. Semret, D. Cousins, D. M. Collins, and M. A. Behr. 2006. Sequencing of *hsp65* distinguishes among subsets of the *Mycobacterium avium* complex. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 44:433-440.
 29. van der Giessen, J. W., A. Eger, J. Haagsma, and B. A. van der Zeijst. 1993. Rapid detection and identification of *Mycobacterium avium* by amplification of 16S rRNA sequences. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 31:2509-2512.
 30. van Soolingen, D., J. Bauer, V. Ritacco, S. C. Leao, I. Pavlik, V. Vincent, N. Rastogi, A. Gori, T. Bodmer, C. Garzelli, and M. J. Garcia. 1998. IS1245 restriction fragment length polymorphism typing of *Mycobacterium avium* isolates: proposal for standardization. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 36:3051-3054.